

INTERSECTION DEEP-DIVE / FLUID TRANSFER

How to Select Diaphragm Pumps for Caustics/Bases Service

Caustic service doesn't follow the same "fluoropolymer is always safe" logic that acid service does — the material call is different, and the exceptions matter.

THE PROBLEM THIS GUIDE SOLVES

Caustic service breaks the default material assumption

Most chemical-service material selection defaults to "PVDF and PTFE are broadly excellent, so start there." Caustic/base service is a documented exception to that default – and treating it like acid service is a real, sourced risk, not a theoretical one.

DIAPHRAGM PUMP FIELD DATA – POTASSIUM/SODIUM HYDROXIDE DOSING

Graco QUANTM i30 electric diaphragm pump

Potassium Hydroxide (KOH)

Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)

PP and PVDF wetted materials are confirmed in field use. 316 stainless steel is confirmed **not suitable** for this service – a LibertyCES case study at a major U.S. cheese plant (50% H_2SO_4 / 45% KOH dosing) documents this directly. The QUANTM i30 electric diaphragm architecture, which runs without a traditional mechanical seal, delivered a 24% chemical-consumption reduction on that installation through more precise dosing control – not through a stronger pump.

A separate, important caution – sourced from tank material guidance, not pump data: Peabody's Special Application Tanks documentation states that for sodium hydroxide storage, *both* Viton and PVDF should be avoided, with EPDM required instead – an unusual double-exclusion that runs counter to the "premium fluoropolymer is always the safe default" pattern seen elsewhere. This is tank-construction guidance, not diaphragm-pump wetted-parts data, and should not be assumed to transfer directly to pump elastomers or seals – but it is a real, documented case where a standard elastomer outperformed premium fluoropolymer options for this exact chemistry, and it is reason enough to verify elastomer selection for any NaOH service rather than defaulting to PVDF/PTFE by habit.

THE SPECIFICATION RULE

Don't default to the acid-service material logic

Acid service and caustic/base service are not the same material problem. Where acid service generally rewards defaulting to PVDF, PTFE, or Halar/ECTFE, caustic service has at least one well-documented case (sodium hydroxide, per Peabody's tank guidance) where those same fluoropolymers underperform a standard elastomer. The correct process for a diaphragm pump on caustic duty is to verify the exact material against the exact chemical and concentration – PP and PVDF are confirmed field-proven for KOH dosing at the concentrations in LibertyCES's own case record, but that confirmation doesn't automatically extend to every caustic chemistry or concentration.

SOURCED TO

- cheese-plant-wastewater-ph.md (LibertyCES field case study)
- graco-diaphragm-pumps.md
- peabody-general-catalog.md (tank material guidance, cited separately above)

Chemical compatibility depends on exact concentration, temperature, and duty cycle. Confirm current specifications with the manufacturer before final specification.

NEXT STEP

Send LibertyCES your exact caustic chemistry, concentration, and temperature – not just "caustic service" – and get a material call that's verified, not assumed.

[Request a spec review](#)

NEXT STEP

Send LibertyCES the process data, not a part number.

Exact chemical, concentration, temperature, flow, pressure, and current equipment
– that's what a real spec review needs to start.

Request a spec review

sales@libertyces.com

(559) 395-5500

libertyces.com